| OCIO Project # | : 5225-123 | | | Team I | Member to | Proje |
|--|---|---|--------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Department | : Department of Corre | ections and Rehabilitation | _ | | | • |
| Reporting Period | : From: | То: | - | | | Manag |
| | | Current Task Su | ımmary | | | |
| Task or Deliveral | ple | | | Scheduled Completion Date | Actual Completion Date | Issues? |
| | | | | | | |
| l | | | | | | |
| Accomplished th | is week | | | | | |
| Accomplished th | is week | | | | | |
| | is week led Completion in Ne | ∍xt Two Weeks | | | | |
| | | ext Two Weeks | | | | |
| | | | Yes/No | | Explanation | |
| Planned/Schedul | led Completion in No | ımary | Yes/No | | Explanation | |
| Planned/Schedul Will all assigned to | Status Sum asks be accomplished | imary d by their due date? be completed? | Yes/No | | Explanation | |
| Planned/Schedul Will all assigned to | Status Sum asks be accomplished | nmary d by their due date? | Yes/No | | Explanation | |
| Planned/Schedul Will all assigned to Are there any plan Are there problem tasks? | Status Sum asks be accomplished | d by their due date? be completed? bility to accomplish assigned | Yes/No | | Explanation | |
| Planned/Schedul Will all assigned to Are there any plan Are there problem tasks? | Status Sum asks be accomplished anned tasks that won't les which affect your ab | d by their due date? be completed? bility to accomplish assigned | | | Explanation | |
| Planned/Schedul Will all assigned to Are there any plan Are there problem tasks? | Status Sum asks be accomplished anned tasks that won't les which affect your ab | the completed? be completed? bility to accomplish assigned currently scheduled? | | I | | Status |

Team Member to PM (2) Page 1 of 7

| Project Name: EdFIRST | |
|-----------------------|--|
|-----------------------|--|

OCIO Project #: 5225-123

Department: Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

Reporting Period: *From:* 7/28/09 *To:* 8/31/09

Project Manager to Sponsor

Current Status Report

| Questions | Yes/No | Cause | Impact | Action Required |
|---|--------|-------|--------|-----------------|
| Were recent milestones completed on schedule? | Yes | | | |
| Were any key milestones or deliverables rescheduled? | No | | | |
| 3. Was work done that was not planned? | No | | | |
| 4. Were there any changes to scope? | No | | | |
| 5. Were tasks added that were not originally estimated? | No | | | |
| 6. Were any tasks or milestones removed? | No | | | |
| 7. Were any scheduled tasks not started? | No | | | |
| 8. Are there any new major issues? | No | | | |
| Are there any staffing problems? | No | | | |

PM to Sponsor (2) Page 2 of 7

| Project Name: | EdFIRST |
|---------------|---------|
| | |

OCIO Project #: 5225-123

Department: Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

Reporting Period: *From:* 7/28/09 *To:* 8/31/09

Project Manager to Sponsor

Look Ahead View

| Questions | Yes/No | Impact | Action Required |
|---|--------|------------------------|---|
| Will upcoming critical path milestones or deliverables be delayed? | Yes | Overall schedule delay | SPR to rebaseline based on gap analysis |
| Do any key milestones or deliverables need to be rescheduled? | Yes | Overall schedule delay | SPR to rebaseline based on gap analysis |
| 3. Is there any unplanned work that needs to be done? | No | | |
| Are there any expected or recommended changes to scope? | No | | |
| 5. Are there any tasks not originally estimated that will need to be added? | No | | |
| 6. Are there any tasks or milestones that should be removed from the plan? | No | | |
| 7. Are there any scheduled tasks whose start will likely be delayed? | No | | |
| 8. Are any major new issues foreseeable? | No | | |
| Are any staffing problems anticipated? | No | | |

Current Status and Accomplishments:

Describe deliverables completed and milestones met during this reporting period.

Requirement capturing complete and entered into Traceability Matrix tool. Ready to engage gap analysis process. Held initial meeting with EDS/Marquis.

PM to Sponsor (2) Page 3 of 7

Project Name: EdFIRST

OCIO Project #: 5225-123

Department: Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

Reporting Period: *From:* 7/28/09 *To:* 8/31/09

Project Manager to Sponsor

Project Milestones:

List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule.

| Milestone | Target Date | Forecast Date | Status | Cause & Impact to Implementation Date | Date Completed |
|--------------------------------|----------------|------------------|---------|--|----------------|
| Determine Requirements | 6/2/09 | 8/26/09 | Delayed | KPMG contract not signed by HQ until 6/19. Into Educators summer break periods. | 8/26/09 |
| Perform Gap Analysis | 7/20/09 | 9/25/09 | Delayed | Competing with DECATS gap analysis and SOMS JET sessions. | |
| Finalize Recommendation Report | 8/28/09 | 10/30/09 | Delayed | Project direction decision delayed until 10/30/09 | |

Variances

Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance".

| | On Plan <5% | Caution 5-10% | Significant Variance >10% | Action Required |
|-----------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------------|---|
| Schedule | | | х | EdFIRST will either role into SOMS, or submit SPR to rebaseline based on results of gap analysis. |
| Milestones | | | х | EdFIRST will either role into SOMS, or submit SPR to rebaseline based on results of gap analysis. |
| Deliverables | | | х | EdFIRST will either role into SOMS, or submit SPR to rebaseline based on results of gap analysis. |
| Resources | Х | | | |
| OneTime Cost | X | | | |
| Continuing Cost | x | | | |

PM to Sponsor (2) Page 4 of 7

| Project Name: | EdFIRST | | | |
|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|---------------|---------|
| OCIO Project #: | 5225-123 | | | |
| Department: | Departmen | t of Corrections a | nd Rehabilita | ation |
| Reporting Period: | From: | 7/28/09 | To: | 8/31/09 |

Sponsor to Executive Committee

Summary Milestones and Highlights

Project Milestones:

List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule. Explain in issues section if a milestone's status is behind.

| Milestone | Target Date | Forecast Date | Status | If Delayed, Impact to Implementation Date | Date Completed |
|-------------------------------|----------------|------------------|---------|--|----------------|
| Determine Requirements | 6/2/09 | 8/26/09 | Delayed | KPMG contract not signed by HQ until 6/19. Into Educators summer break periods. | 8/26/09 |
| Perform Gap Analysis | 7/20/09 | 9/25/09 | Delayed | Competing with DECATS gap analysis and SOMS JAD sessions. | |
| Prepare Recommendation Report | 8/28/09 | 10/30/09 | Delayed | Project direction decision delayed until 10/30/09 | |

Variances

Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance".

* Priority of schedule, scope, budget, and quality from Final Ranking established in the Priority Analysis

| | On Plan <5% | Caution 5-10% | Significant Variance >10% | Action Required |
|-----------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------------|---|
| Schedule | | | Х | EdFIRST will either role into SOMS, or submit SPR to rebaseline based on results of gap analysis. |
| Milestones | | | х | EdFIRST will either role into SOMS, or submit SPR to rebaseline based on results of gap analysis. |
| Deliverables | | | Х | EdFIRST will either role into SOMS, or submit SPR to rebaseline based on results of gap analysis. |
| Resources | х | | | |
| One Time Cost | х | | | |
| Continuing Cost | х | | | |

Sponsor to Exe Comm Page 5 of 7

| Project Name: | EdFIRST |
|-----------------|--|
| OCIO Project #: | 5225-123 |
| Department: | Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation |

7/28/09

Reporting Period: From:

Sponsor to Executive Committee

Monitoring Vital Signs Scorecard

To:

8/31/09

| Vital Sign | Variance | Value | Your Score | Score Justification |
|---|----------------------------------|-------|------------|------------------------------------|
| | High Degree of Buy-In | 0 | Green O | |
| Customer Buy-In | Medium Degree of Buy-In | 1 | | |
| | Low Degree of Buy-In | 2 | ž | |
| | Strong Viability | 0 | O | |
| Technology Viability | Medium Viability | 1 | Green O | |
| | Weak Viability | 2 | ž | |
| | <5% | 0 | _ | State by death access Con- |
| Status of the Critical Path (delay) | 5% to 10% | 1 | 2 8 | State budget process, Gap analysis |
| | >10% | 2 | | allalysis |
| 4. Cook to Data va Fatinated Cook to | to Date va Fetimeted Coat to | 0 | | |
| Cost-to-Date vs. Estimated Cost-to- Date (higher) | 5% to 10% | 1 | Green 0 | |
| Date (Higher) | >10% | 2 | ž | |
| 5 High Dook ability High Jacob et | 0 to 3 | 0 | ~ | |
| High-Probability, High-Impact Risks | 4 to 6 | 1 | 1 Yellow | |
| NISKS | >6 | 2 | × | |
| 6. Unresolved Issues | On time | 0 | O | |
| (on time resolution) | Late with no impact | 1 | o Green | |
| | Late impacting the critical path | 2 | Š | |
| | Fully engaged | 0 | 0 | |
| 7. Sponsorship Commitment | Partially engaged | 1 | Green 0 | |
| | Inadequate engagement | 2 | ž | |
| | Strong alignment | 0 | 0 | |
| Strategy Alignment | Partial alignment | 1 | o Green | |
| | Weak or no alignment | 2 | ň | |
| | Strong | 0 | | |
| 9. Value-to-Business | Medium | 1 | Green 0 | |
| | Weak | 2 | Š | |

Sponsor to Exe Comm Page 6 of 7

| Project Name: | EdFIRST |
|-----------------|--|
| OCIO Project #: | 5225-123 |
| Department: | Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation |

7/28/09

To:

Reporting Period: From:

Sponsor to Executive Committee

| 10. Vendor Viability (provide rationale for the rating in the field following the | Strong | 0 | 0 | 9 | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------|---|-------|----------------------------|
| | Medium | 1 | | Green | |
| scorecard) 11. Milestone Hit Rate (rate of achievement as planned) | Weak | 2 | 2 | n | |
| | >90% on time | 0 | | | S |
| | 80-90% on time | 1 | | Red | State budget process, KPMG |
| (rate of achievement as planned) | <80% on time | 2 | | | State budget process, KPMG |
| 40. Deliverable Litt Dete | >90% on time | 0 | | | |
| 12. Deliverable Hit Rate | 80-90% on time | 1 | | Red | |
| (rate of production as planned) | <80% on time | 2 | 0 | | contract signing delay |
| | >90% assigned and available | 0 | | 0 | |
| 13. Actual vs. Planned Resources | 80-90% assigned and available | 1 | | Green | |
| | <80% assigned and available | 2 | | ž | |
| 44. O series a LIEE series | <15% | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 14. Overtime Utilization (% of effort that is overtime) | 15-25% | 1 | | Green | |
| | >25% | 2 | | ž | |
| 15. Team Effectiveness | Highly Effective | 0 | 0 | G | |
| | Moderately Effective | 1 | | Green | |
| | Ineffective | 2 | | | |
| | | Total | 7 | G | |

8/31/09

Green = 0 - 8 Yellow = 9 - 19Red = 20 +

| Vendor Viability Rating Rationale | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Sponsor to Exe Comm Page 7 of 7